

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,338	08/23/2001	Ran Oz	ABS-002	1810
21323	7590 09/22/2005		EXAM	INER
•	RWITZ & THIBEAU	BOAKYE, ALEXANDER O		
HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	BOSTON, MA 02110			
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/22/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/938,338	OZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ALEXANDER BOAKYE	2667			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  I'HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	<u>August 2001</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>77-97</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>77-87</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>88 and 96</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 89-95 and 97 is/are objected to.	/or alastian requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the l					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>		onlication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr					
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/12/02;8/30/01,09/23/01		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 88 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pfeffer (US Patent # 6, 128,293).

Regarding claim 88, Pfeffer teaches method for directing a packet in a communication switching system (Fig.2) comprising the steps of: rated adapted multiplexing, over data-over- broadband network transmission, when the packet is a part of a media session over data-over-broadband network transmission, authorized for rate adaptation multiplexing (column 4, lines 49-59; column 6, lines 63-67; SAU 12 of Fig. 2 is a broadband network because it is a multi service management system); a rate adapted multiplexing the packet, over media-over-broadband network transmission, when the packet is a part of a media session over media-over-broadband network

Art Unit: 2667

transmission, authorized for rate adaptation multiplexing (column 4, lines 49-59; column 6, lines 63-67).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 96 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pfeffer (US Patent # 6,128,293) in view of Davenport et al.(US Paten # 5,652,749).

Regarding claim 96, Pfeffer teaches rate adaptation multiplexing (column 4, lines 49-59). What Pfeffer does not disclose is MPEG transport. However, Davenport discloses MPEG transport (column 5, lines 34-39). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate MPEG transport into the communication network of Pfeffer in order to be able to transport video services. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate MPEG transport such as the one taught by Davenport into the communication network of Pfeffer with the motivation being that it provides capability for the system to transport video services to users.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 89-95 and 97 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 77-87 are allowable.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to claims 77-87, the prior art of record does not teach a downstream remultiplexing engine, coupled to the packet switch engine and to a plurality of down stream transmit units and a plurality of media-access controllers coupled to the down stream re-multiplexing engine, the packet switch engine and to upstream channels, the media-access controllers provide packets received from the upstream channels either to the downstream re-multiplexing engine or to the packet switch engine, according to packet content type and packet original destination.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Boakye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3183. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am to 6:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,338 Page 5

Art Unit: 2667

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The Central Fax number is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Electronic Business Center numbers 866-217-9197 and 703-305-3028.

Alexander Boakye

Patent Examiner

AB 9/17/05

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN